

GOA STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Kamat Tower, Seventh Floor, Patto Panaji-Goa

CORAM: Smt. Pratima K. Vernekar, State Information Commissioner.

Appeal No.48/SIC/2014/

Shri Suhasini S. Govekar,

H.No. 678/5,

Soratto Wado,

Anjuna Soratto, Anjuna Bardez - Goa.

.....Appellant

V/s.

1. The Public Information Officer (PIO),
Village Panchayat Secretary Anjuna-Caisua,
Anjuna Bardez Goa..
2. The Block Development Officer(Bardez)/
First Appellate Authority (FAA),
Block Development Office of Bardez Taluka,
Mapusa Goa.

Appeal filed on: 14/05/2014

Decided on: 10/11/2016

1. **The Appellant Mrs Suhasini Govekar by her application dated 22/11/2013** filed under section 6(1) of RTI Act 2005 sought information related to Mr. Harshul Sondhi permission for reconstruction and extension of Residential house in survey no. 307/1 of Anjuna Village Panchayat on 7 points as stated there in the said application.
2. Since the Respondent No. 1 PIO failed to reply the same and furnish the information, the appellant after exhausting her first remedy u/s 19 (1) , approached this Commission by way of second appeal u/s 19 (3) on 14/05/2014.
3. In pursuant to the notice issued by this Commission, appellant was present in person. The present PIO Shri Dharmendra Govekar appeared during the hearings. On behalf of Respondent No.2 FAA Shri Rajkumar Chodankar appeared only once and then opted to remain absence.
4. Respondent PIO during the hearings showed his willingness to provide information to the appellant and accordingly during subsequent hearing he furnished the required information to the appellant .
5. The appellant after verification of the information submitted that the present PIO was very co-operative and have furnished her information which is to her satisfaction as such she has no grievance against present PIO Shri Dharmendra Govekar . She further submitted that since then PIO Babu Shirodkar has expired in the year 2015, she is not insisting for invoking

penal provisions. Accordingly she endorsed her say on the reverse of the last page of her appeal memo.

6. Since the information has been now furnished to the appellant and view of submissions and the endorsement made by the appellant, nothing survives to be decided in the present appeal.
7. Appeal stands disposed accordingly. Proceedings stands closed.

Notify the parties.

Authenticated copies of the Order should be given to the parties free of cost. Aggrieved party if any may move against this order by way of a Writ Petition as no further Appeal is provided against this order under the Right to Information Act 2005.

Pronounced in the open court.

Sd/-
(Ms. Pratima K. Vernekar)
State Information Commissioner
Goa State Information Commission,
Panaji-Goa